

PERFORMANCE AND RISK FOR 2016-17

Cabinet Member Cllr Colin Slade
Responsible Officer Director of Corporate Affairs & Business Transformation,
Jill May

Reason for Report: To provide Members with an update on performance against the corporate plan and local service targets for 2016-17 as well as providing an update on the key business risks.

RECOMMENDATION: That the PDG reviews the Performance Indicators and Risks that are outlined in this report and feeds back areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Relationship to Corporate Plan: Corporate Plan priorities and targets are effectively maintained through the use of appropriate performance indicators and regular monitoring.

Financial Implications: None identified

Legal Implications: None

Risk Assessment: If performance is not monitored we may fail to meet our corporate and local service plan targets or to take appropriate corrective action where necessary. If key business risks are not identified and monitored they cannot be mitigated effectively.

Equality Impact Assessment: No equality issues identified for this report.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Appendix 1 provides Members with details of performance against the Corporate Plan and local service targets for the 2016-17 financial year.
- 1.2 Appendix 2 shows the section of the Corporate Risk Register which relates to the Community Portfolio. See 3.0 below.
- 1.3 Appendix 3 shows the profile of all risks for the Community Portfolio for this quarter.
- 1.4 All appendices are produced from the corporate Service Performance And Risk Management system (SPAR).
- 1.5 Annual “**Scores on the doors**” data is at Appendix 8.

2.0 Performance

- 2.1 **Compliance with food safety law** is on target which means that 90% of premises being rated 4 or above under the Food Hygiene Rating Scheme.
- 2.2 The results for leisure are mixed.
- 2.3 When benchmarking information is available it is included.

3.0 Risk

- 3.1 The Corporate risk register has been reviewed by Management Team (MT) and updated. Risk reports to committees include risks with a total score of 10 or more. (See Appendix 2)
- 3.2 Appendix 3 shows the risk matrix for MDDC for this quarter. If risks are not scored they are included in the matrix at their inherent score which will be higher than their current score would be.

4.0 Conclusion and Recommendation

- 4.1 That the PDG reviews the performance indicators and risks for 2016-17 that are outlined in this report and feedback any areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Contact for more Information: Catherine Yandle, Audit Team Leader ext 4975

Circulation of the Report: Management Team and Cabinet Member